UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,828	09/05/2003	Phillip Craig Graves	62941.002015	7150
94551 7590 09/13/2011 LANDMARK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, PLLC 19925 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 100 Cupertino, CA 95014			EXAMINER	
			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Eveniner Initiated Interview Summers	10/655,828	GRAVES ET AL.				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NARAYANSWAMY SUBRAMANIAN	3695				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>NARAYANSWAMY SUBRAMANIAN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Gregory M. Murphy (Reg. No. 52,494)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 September 2011</u> .						
Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  Personal [copy given to:  applicant [	☐ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.					
Issues Discussed □101 □112 □102 □103 ☑Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 42</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Examiner Subramanian discussed some non-compliant amendments in the Supplemental response filed by the Applicants on August 4, 2011. Attorney Murphy agreed to file a compliant supplemental amendment based on the discussions.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
<b>Examiner recordation instructions</b> : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
Attachment						
/Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695						